Parallel programming: Introduction to GPU architecture

Caroline Collange
Inria Rennes – Bretagne Atlantique

caroline.collange@inria.fr
https://team.inria.fr/pacap/members/collange/

Master 1
PPAR - 2020

Outline of the course

- March 3: Introduction to GPU architecture
  - Parallelism and how to exploit it
  - Performance models
- March 9: GPU programming
  - The software side
  - Programming model
- March 16: Performance optimization
  - Possible bottlenecks
  - Common optimization techniques
- 4 lab sessions, starting March 17
  - Labs 1&2: computing log(2) the hard way
  - Labs 3&4: yet another Conway's Game of Life

Graphics processing unit (GPU)

or

- Graphics rendering accelerator for computer games
  - Mass market: low unit price, amortized R&D
  - Increasing programmability and flexibility
- Inexpensive, high-performance parallel processor
  - GPUs are everywhere, from cell phones to supercomputers
- General-Purpose computation on GPU (GPGPU)

GPUs in high-performance computing

- GPU/accelerator share in Top500 supercomputers
  - In 2010: 2%
  - In 2018: 22%

- 2016+ trend:
  Heterogeneous multi-core processors influenced by GPUs

#1 Summit (USA)
4,608 × (2 Power9 CPUs + 6 Volta GPUs)

#3 Sunway TaihuLight (China)
40,960 × SW26010 (4 big + 256 small cores)

GPUs in the future?

- Yesterday (2000-2010)
  - Homogeneous multi-core
  - Discrete components
- Today (2011-...)
  Chip-level integration
  - CPU cores and GPU cores on the same chip
  - Still different programming models, software stacks
- Tomorrow
  Heterogeneous multi-core
  - GPUs to blend into throughput-optimized, general purpose cores?



Outline

- GPU, many-core: why, what for?
  - Technological trends and constraints
  - From graphics to general purpose
  - Hardware trends
- Forms of parallelism, how to exploit them
  - Why we need (so much) parallelism: latency and throughput
  - Sources of parallelism: ILP, TLP, DLP
  - Uses of parallelism: horizontal, vertical
- Let's design a GPU!
  - Ingredients: Sequential core, Multi-core, Multi-threaded core, SIMD
  - Putting it all together
  - Architecture of current GPUs: cores, memory

The free lunch era... was yesterday

- 1980's to 2002: *Moore's law*, *Dennard scaling*, micro-architecture improvements
  - Exponential performance increase
  - Software compatibility preserved



Hennessy, Patterson. Computer Architecture, a quantitative approach. 5th Ed. 2010

- Do not rewrite software, buy a new machine!

Technology evolution

- Memory wall
  - Memory speed does not increase as fast as computing speed
  - Harder to hide memory latency
- Power wall
  - Power consumption of transistors does not decrease as fast as density increases
  - Performance is now limited by power consumption
- ILP wall
  - Law of diminishing returns on Instruction-Level Parallelism
  - Pollack rule: cost ≃ performance²







Usage changes

- New applications demand **parallel processing**
  - Computer games : 3D graphics
  - Search engines, social networks… “big data” processing
- New computing devices are **power-constrained**
  - Laptops, cell phones, tablets…
    - Small, light, battery-powered
  - Datacenters
    - High power supply and cooling costs

Latency vs. throughput

- **Latency**: time to solution
  - Minimize time, at the expense of power
  - Metric: time
    e.g. seconds
- **Throughput**: quantity of tasks processed per unit of time
  - Assumes unlimited parallelism
  - Minimize energy per operation
  - Metric: operations / time
    e.g. Gflops / s
- CPU: optimized for latency
- GPU: optimized for throughput

Amdahl's law

- Bounds speedup attainable on a parallel machine

$$S = \frac{1}{(1-P) + \frac{P}{N}}$$

Time to run sequential portions

Time to run parallel portions

S	Speedup
P	Ratio of parallel portions
N	Number of processors



G. Amdahl. Validity of the Single Processor Approach to Achieving Large-Scale Computing Capabilities. AFIPS 1967.

Why heterogeneous architectures?

$$S = \frac{1}{(1-P) + \frac{P}{N}}$$

Time to run sequential portions

Time to run parallel portions

- Latency-optimized multi-core (CPU)
  - Low efficiency on parallel portions: spends too much resources
- Throughput-optimized multi-core (GPU)
  - Low performance on sequential portions
- Heterogeneous multi-core (CPU+GPU)
  - Use the right tool for the right job
  - Allows aggressive optimization for latency **or** for throughput



M. Hill, M. Marty. Amdahl's law in the multicore era. IEEE Computer, 2008.

Example: System on Chip for smartphone



Outline

- GPU, many-core: why, what for?
  - Technological trends and constraints
  - From graphics to general purpose
  - Hardware trends
- Forms of parallelism, how to exploit them
  - Why we need (so much) parallelism: latency and throughput
  - Sources of parallelism: ILP, TLP, DLP
  - Uses of parallelism: horizontal, vertical
- Let's design a GPU!
  - Ingredients: Sequential core, Multi-core, Multi-threaded core, SIMD
  - Putting it all together
  - Architecture of current GPUs: cores, memory

The (simplest) graphics rendering pipeline



How much performance do we need

- … to run 3DMark 11 at 50 frames/second?

Element	Per frame	Per second
Vertices	12.0M	600M
Primitives	12.6M	630M
Fragments	180M	9.0G
Instructions	14.4G	**720G**

- Intel Core i7 2700K: 56 Ginsn/s peak
  - We need to go 13x faster
  - Make a special-purpose accelerator

Source: Damien Triolet, Hardware.fr

GPGPU: General-Purpose computation on GPUs



Today: what do we need GPUs for?

1. 3D graphics rendering for games
   - Complex texture mapping, lighting computations…
2. Computer Aided Design workstations
   - Complex geometry
3. High-performance computing
   - Complex synchronization, off-chip data movement, high precision
4. Convolutional neural networks
   - Complex scheduling of low-precision linear algebra

- One chip to rule them all
  - Find the common denominator







Outline

- GPU, many-core: why, what for?
  - Technological trends and constraints
  - From graphics to general purpose
  - Hardware trends
- Forms of parallelism, how to exploit them
  - Why we need (so much) parallelism: latency and throughput
  - Sources of parallelism: ILP, TLP, DLP
  - Uses of parallelism: horizontal, vertical
- Let's design a GPU!
  - Ingredients: Sequential core, Multi-core, Multi-threaded core, SIMD
  - Putting it all together
  - Architecture of current GPUs: cores, memory

Trends: compute performance



Caveat: only considers **desktop** CPUs. Gap with server CPUs is “only” 4×!

Trends: memory bandwidth



Trends: energy efficiency



Outline

- GPU, many-core: why, what for?
  - Technological trends and constraints
  - From graphics to general purpose
  - Hardware trends
- Forms of parallelism, how to exploit them
  - Why we need (so much) parallelism: latency and throughput
  - Sources of parallelism: ILP, TLP, DLP
  - Uses of parallelism: horizontal, vertical
- Let's design a GPU!
  - Ingredients: Sequential core, Multi-core, Multi-threaded core, SIMD
  - Putting it all together
  - Architecture of current GPUs: cores, memory

What is parallelism?

Parallelism: independent operations which execution can be overlapped
Operations: memory accesses or computations

How much parallelism do I need?

- Little's law in queuing theory
  - Average customer arrival rate **λ**
  - Average time spent **W** ← throughput
  - Average number of customers ← latency
    L = λ×W ← **Parallelism = throughput × latency**

- Units
  - For memory: **B** = **GB/s** × **ns**
  - For arithmetic: **flops** = **Gflops/s** × **ns**

J. Little. A proof for the queuing formula L= λ W. JSTOR 1961.

Throughput and latency: CPU vs. GPU



→ Need 56 times more parallelism!

Consequence: more parallelism

- GPU vs. CPU
  - 8× more parallelism to feed more units (throughput)
  - 6× more parallelism to hide longer latency
  - 56× more total parallelism
- How to find this parallelism?



Sources of parallelism

- ILP: Instruction-Level Parallelism
  - Between independent instructions in sequential program



- TLP: Thread-Level Parallelism
  - Between independent execution contexts: threads



- DLP: Data-Level Parallelism
  - Between elements of a vector: same operation on several elements



Example: X ← a×X

- In-place scalar-vector product: X ← a×X

Sequential (ILP)

```
For i = 0 to n-1 do:
   X[i] ← a * X[i]
```

Threads (TLP)

```
Launch n threads:
   X[tid] ← a * X[tid]
```

Vector (DLP)

```
   X ← a * X
```

- Or any combination of the above

Uses of parallelism

- “Horizontal” parallelism for throughput
  - More units working in parallel



- “Vertical” parallelism for latency hiding
  - Pipelining: keep units busy when waiting for dependencies, memory



How to extract parallelism?

	Horizontal	Vertical
ILP	Superscalar	Pipelined
TLP	Multi-core SMT	Interleaved / switch-on-event multithreading
DLP	SIMD / SIMT	Vector / temporal SIMT

- We have seen the first row: ILP
- We will now review techniques for the next rows: TLP, DLP

Outline

- GPU, many-core: why, what for?
  - Technological trends and constraints
  - From graphics to general purpose
  - Hardware trends
- Forms of parallelism, how to exploit them
  - Why we need (so much) parallelism: latency and throughput
  - Sources of parallelism: ILP, TLP, DLP
  - Uses of parallelism: horizontal, vertical
- Let's design a GPU!
  - Ingredients: Sequential core, Multi-core, Multi-threaded core, SIMD
  - Putting it all together
  - Architecture of current GPUs: cores, memory

Sequential processor

```
for i = 0 to n-1
   X[i] ← a * X[i]
```

Source code

```
   move  i ← 0
loop:
   load  t ← X[i]
   mul   t ← a×t
   store X[i] ← t
   add   i ← i+1
   branch i<n? loop
```

Machine code



Sequential CPU

- Focuses on instruction-level parallelism
  - Exploits ILP: vertically (pipelining) and horizontally (superscalar)

The incremental approach: multi-core

- Several processors
  on a single chip
  sharing one memory space



Intel Sandy Bridge

- Area: benefits from Moore's law
- Power: extra cores consume little when not in use
  - e.g. Intel Turbo Boost



Source: Intel

Homogeneous multi-core

- Horizontal use of thread-level parallelism



Threads: T0 T1

- Improves peak throughput

Example: Tilera Tile-GX

- Grid of (up to) 72 tiles
- Each tile: 3-way VLIW processor, 5 pipeline stages, 1.2 GHz



Interleaved multi-threading

- Vertical use of thread-level parallelism



- Hides latency thanks to explicit parallelism improves achieved throughput

Example: Oracle Sparc T5

- 16 cores / chip
- Core: out-of-order superscalar, 8 threads
- 15 pipeline stages, 3.6 GHz



Clustered multi-core

- For each individual unit, select between
  - Horizontal replication
  - Vertical time-multiplexing
- Examples
  - Sun UltraSparc T2, T3
  - AMD Bulldozer
  - IBM Power 7, 8, 9



- Area-efficient tradeoff
- Blurs boundaries between cores

Implicit SIMD

- Factorization of fetch/decode, load-store units
  - Fetch 1 instruction on behalf of several threads
  - Read 1 memory location and broadcast to several registers



- In NVIDIA-speak
  - SIMT: Single Instruction, Multiple Threads
  - Convoy of synchronized threads: *warp*
- Extracts DLP from multi-thread applications

How to exploit common operations?

Multi-threading implementation options:

- Horizontal: replication
  - **Different** resources, **same** time
  - Chip Multi-Processing (CMP)
- Vertical: time-multiplexing
  - **Same** resource, **different** times
  - Multi-Threading (MT)
- Factorization
  - **If** we have common operations between threads
  - **Same** resource, **same** time
  - Single-Instruction Multi-Threading (SIMT)



Explicit SIMD

- Single Instruction Multiple Data
- Horizontal use of data level parallelism

```
loop:
    vload  T ← X[i]
    vmul   T ← a×T
    vstore X[i] ← T
    add    i ← i+4
    branch i<n? loop
```

Machine code



SIMD CPU

- Examples
  - Intel MIC (16-wide)
  - AMD GCN GPU (16-wide×4-deep)
  - Most general purpose CPUs (4-wide to 16-wide)

Outline

- GPU, many-core: why, what for?
  - Technological trends and constraints
  - From graphics to general purpose
- Forms of parallelism, how to exploit them
  - Why we need (so much) parallelism: latency and throughput
  - Sources of parallelism: ILP, TLP, DLP
  - Uses of parallelism: horizontal, vertical
- Let's design a GPU!
  - Ingredients: Sequential core, Multi-core, Multi-threaded core, SIMD
  - Putting it all together
  - Architecture of current GPUs: cores, memory

Example CPU: Intel Core i7

- Is a wide superscalar, but has also
  - Multicore
  - Multi-thread / core
  - SIMD units
- Up to 116 operations/cycle from 8 threads



Example GPU: NVIDIA GeForce GTX 980

- SIMT: warps of 32 threads
- 16 SMs / chip
- 4×32 cores / SM, 64 warps / SM



- 4612 Gflop/s
- Up to 32768 threads in flight

Taxonomy of parallel architectures

	Horizontal	Vertical
ILP	Superscalar / VLIW	Pipelined
TLP	Multi-core SMT	Interleaved / switch-on-event multithreading
DLP	SIMD / SIMT	Vector / temporal SIMT

Classification: multi-core

Intel Haswell



Fujitsu SPARC64 X



General-purpose multi-cores:
balance ILP, TLP and DLP

IBM Power 8



Oracle Sparc T5



Sparc T:
focus on TLP

How to read the table

- Given an application with known ILP, TLP, DLP
  how much throughput / latency hiding can I expect?
  - For each cell, take minimum of existing parallelism and hardware capability
  - The column-wise product gives throughput / latency hiding



Max throughput = 8×1×1
for this application
Peak throughput = 8×4×8
that can be achieved
→Can only hope for ~3% of peak performance!

Classification: GPU and many small-core



GPU: focus on DLP, TLP horizontal and vertical



Many small-core: focus on horizontal TLP

Takeaway

- Parallelism for throughput and latency hiding
- Types of parallelism: ILP, TLP, DLP
- All modern processors exploit the 3 kinds of parallelism
- GPUs focus on Thread-level and Data-level parallelism

Outline

- GPU, many-core: why, what for?
  - Technological trends and constraints
  - From graphics to general purpose
- Forms of parallelism, how to exploit them
  - Why we need (so much) parallelism: latency and throughput
  - Sources of parallelism: ILP, TLP, DLP
  - Uses of parallelism: horizontal, vertical
- Let's design a GPU!
  - Ingredients: Sequential core, Multi-core, Multi-threaded core, SIMD
  - Putting it all together
  - Architecture of current GPUs: cores, memory

What is inside a graphics card?



NVIDIA Volta V100 GPU. Artist rendering!

External memory: discrete GPU

Classical CPU-GPU model

- Split memory spaces
- Need to transfer data explicitly
- Highest bandwidth from GPU memory
- Transfers to main memory are slower



Example configuration:
Intel Core i7 4790, Nvidia GeForce GTX 980

Discrete GPU memory technology

- GDDR5, GDDR5x
  - Qualitatively like regular DDR
  - Optimized for high frequency at the expense of latency and cost
  - e.g. *Nvidia Titan X*: 12 chip pairs x 32-bit bus × 10 GHz → 480 GB/s
- High-Bandwidth Memory (HBM)
  - On-package stacked memory on silicon interposer



  - Shorter trace ergy-efficient
  - Limited capacity and high cost
  - e.g. *AMD R9 Fury X*: 4× 4-high stack × 1024-bit × 1 GHz → 512 GB/s

External memory: embedded GPU

Most GPUs today are integrated

- Same physical memory
- May support memory coherence
  - GPU can read directly from CPU caches
- More contention on external memory



GPU high-level organization

- Processing units
  - Streaming Multiprocessors (SM) in Nvidia jargon
  - Compute Unit (CU) in AMD's
  - Closest equivalent to a CPU core
  - Today: from 1 to 20 SMs in a GPU
- Memory system: caches
  - Keep frequently-accessed data
  - Reduce throughput demand on main memory
  - Managed by hardware (L1, L2) or software (Shared Memory)



GPU processing unit organization

Each SM is a highly-multithreaded processor

- Today: 24 to 48 warps of 32 threads each
  → ~1K threads on each SM, ~10K threads on a GPU



Outline

- GPU, many-core: why, what for?
  - Technological trends and constraints
  - From graphics to general purpose
- Forms of parallelism, how to exploit them
  - Why we need (so much) parallelism: latency and throughput
  - Sources of parallelism: ILP, TLP, DLP
  - Uses of parallelism: horizontal, vertical
- Let's design a GPU!
  - Ingredients: Sequential core, Multi-core, Multi-threaded core, SIMD
  - Putting it all together
  - Architecture of current GPUs: cores, memory
- High-level performance modeling

First-order performance model

Questions you should ask yourself,
before starting to code or optimize

- Will my code run faster on the GPU?
- Is my existing code running as fast as it should?
- Is performance limited by computations or memory bandwidth?

Pen-and-pencil calculations can (often) answer such questions

Performance: metrics and definitions

Optimistic evaluation: upper bound on performance

Assume perfect overlap of computations and memory accesses

- Memory accesses: *bytes*
  - Only external memory,
    not caches or registers
- Computations: *flops*
  - Only “useful” computations (usually floating-point)
    not address calculations, loop iterators..
- Arithmetic intensity: *flops / bytes*
  = computations / memory accesses
  - Property of the code
- Arithmetic throughput: *flops / s*
  - Property of code + architecture

The roofline model

- How much performance can I get for a given arithmetic intensity?
  - Upper bound on arithmetic throughput, as a function of arithmetic intensity
  - Property of the architecture



S. Williams, A. Waterman, D. Patterson. *Roofline: an insightful visual performance model for multicore architectures.* Communications of the ACM, 2009

Building the machine model

- Compute or measure:
  - Peak memory throughput *GTX 980*: 224 GB/s
  - Ideal arithmetic intensity = peak compute throughput / mem throughput

GTX 980: 4612 (Gflop/s) / 224 (GB/s) = 20.6 flop/B
× 4 (B/flop) = 82 (dimensionless)

Beware of units:
float=4B, double=8B !



- Achievable peaks may be lower than theoretical peaks
  - Lower curves when adding realistic constraints

Using the model

- Compute arithmetic intensity, measure performance of program
- Identify bottleneck: memory or computation
- Take optimization decision



Example: dot product

```
for i = 1 to n
    r += a[i] * b[i]
```

- How many computations?
- How many memory accesses?
- Arithmetic intensity?
- Compute-bound or memory-bound?
- How many Gflop/s on a GTX 980 GPU?
  - With data in GPU memory?
  - With data in CPU memory?
- How many Gflop/s on an i7 4790 CPU?

GTX 980: 4612 Gflop/s, 224 GB/s
i7 4790: 460 Gflop/s, 25.6 GB/s
PCIe link: 16 GB/s

Example: dot product

```
for i = 1 to n
    r += a[i] * b[i]
```

- How many computations? → 2 n flops
- How many memory accesses? → 2 n words
- Arithmetic intensity? → 1 flop/word = 0.25 flop/B
- Compute-bound or memory-bound? → Highly memory-bound
- How many Gflop/s on a GTX 980 GPU?
  - With data in GPU memory? 224 GB/s × 0.25 flop/B → 56 Gflop/s
  - With data in CPU memory? 16 GB/s × 0.25 flop/B → 4 Gflop/s
- How many Gflop/s on an i7 4790 CPU?

  25.6 GB/s × 0.25 flop/B → 6.4 Gflop/s

  Conclusion: don't bother porting to GPU!

GTX 980: 4612 Gflop/s, 224 GB/s
i7 4790: 460 Gflop/s, 25.6 GB/s
PCIe link: 16 GB/s

Takeaway

- Result of many tradeoffs
  - Between locality and parallelism
  - Between core complexity and interconnect complexity
- GPU optimized for throughput
  - Exploits primarily DLP, TLP
  - Energy-efficient on parallel applications with regular behavior
- CPU optimized for latency
  - Exploits primarily ILP
  - Can use TLP and DLP when available
- Performance models
  - Back-of-the-envelope calculations and common sense can save time

- Next time: GPU programming in CUDA