# Let us consider 2 sets $S_-$ , $S_+$ of signals representing 2 different classes.

#### Idea:

Each set should admit a specific dictionary best adapted to its reconstruction.

#### Classification procedure for a signal $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\min(\mathbf{R}^{\star}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{D}_{-}),\mathbf{R}^{\star}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{D}_{+}))$$

where

$$\mathsf{R}^{\star}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{D}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{lpha}\in\mathbb{R}^p}||\mathbf{x}-\mathsf{D}\boldsymbol{lpha}||_2^2 ext{ s.t. } ||\boldsymbol{lpha}||_0 \leq L.$$

#### "Reconstructive" training

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\mathbf{D}_{-}} \sum_{i \in S_{-}} \mathbf{R}^{\star}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{D}_{-}) \\ \min_{\mathbf{D}_{+}} \sum_{i \in S_{+}} \mathbf{R}^{\star}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{D}_{+}) \end{array}$$

$$\mathsf{R}^{\star}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{D}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{lpha} \in \mathbb{R}^p} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathsf{D}\boldsymbol{lpha}||_2^2 \text{ s.t. } ||\boldsymbol{lpha}||_0 \leq L.$$

"Discriminative" training

$$\min_{\mathbf{D}_{-},\mathbf{D}_{+}}\sum_{i} \mathcal{C}\Big(\lambda z_{i} \big(\mathbf{R}^{\star}(\mathbf{x}_{i},\mathbf{D}_{-})-\mathbf{R}^{\star}(\mathbf{x}_{i},\mathbf{D}_{+})\big)\Big),$$

where  $z_i \in \{-1, +1\}$  is the label of  $\mathbf{x}_i$ .



・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Logistic loss function

#### Mixed approach

$$\min_{\mathbf{D}_{-},\mathbf{D}_{+}}\sum_{i} \mathcal{C}\Big(\lambda z_{i}\big(\mathbf{R}^{\star}(\mathbf{x}_{i},\mathbf{D}_{-})-\mathbf{R}^{\star}(\mathbf{x}_{i},\mathbf{D}_{+})\big)\Big)+\mu\mathbf{R}^{\star}(\mathbf{x}_{i},\mathbf{D}_{z_{i}}),$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

where  $z_i \in \{-1, +1\}$  is the label of  $\mathbf{x}_i$ .

#### Keys of the optimization framework

- Alternation of sparse coding and dictionary updates, as in MOD and K-SVD.
- Continuation path with decreasing values of  $\mu$ .
- Greedy procedure to address the NP-hard sparse coding problem. [Weisbert '80], [Mallat '93].
- or LARS to address a convex relaxation of the sparse coding using the  $\ell_1$  norm. [Efron '00].
- Use softmax instead of logistic regression for N > 2 classes.

Discriminative sparse representations New feature space: Use one classifier per scale



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

Discriminative sparse representations New feature space: Use reconstruction error paths

### $\mathsf{R}^{\star}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{D}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in\mathbb{R}^p} ||\mathbf{x}-\mathsf{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_2^2 \text{ s.t. } ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_0 \leq \boldsymbol{L}.$

After the learning of the dictionaries, why not use different values for L?

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

#### Discriminative sparse representations New feature space: Use reconstruction error paths



▲ロ▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ ― 国 … のへで

#### Discriminative sparse representations New feature space



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

#### Some related works

- Generative models: [Wright et al. '07],[Grosse et al. '07],[Huang & Aviyente '06]
- Another discriminative model: [Rodriguez & Sapiro '08]
- Textons: [Malik et al. '99]
- Discriminative codebooks: [Lazebnik & Raginsky '08], [Winn et al. '05]

- pLSA: [Hoffman '01]
- Neural nets: [Lecun, Hinton ~90s-today.]

### Idea: Using the coefficients as features

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

#### Work in progress...

#### Sparse representations for image restoration

- Discriminative sparse representations for computer vision
- Applications to recognition and image interpretation

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

#### Applications to computer vision Texture segmentation



#### Applications to computer vision Texture segmentation



A D > A P > A B > A B >

э

#### Applications to computer vision Pixelwise classification



#### Applications to computer vision Example of learned dictionaries





Figure: Top: reconstructive, Bottom: discriminative, Left: Background, Right: Bicycle

#### Applications to computer vision Example of object detection, qualitative evaluation



#### Applications to computer vision Example of object detection, quantitative evaluation



Figure: comparison with Tuytelaars '07 and Pantofaru & Schmidt '06

#### Applications to computer vision Discriminative dictionaries for edge detection



Good edges

Bad edges

◆□ → ◆同 → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → □ □

#### Applications to computer vision Berkeley segmentation benchmark



#### Raw edge detection on the right

◆□ → ◆同 → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → □ □

#### Applications to computer vision Berkeley segmentation benchmark



Raw edge detection on the right

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

- 3

#### Applications to computer vision Berkeley segmentation benchmark



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ● ● の Q ()

#### Applications to computer vision Contour-based classifier: [Leordeanu, Hebert & Sukthankar '07]



Is there a bike, a motorbike, a car or a person on this image?

→ ▲問 → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → □ □

#### Application to edge detection and classification

#### Question:

## Can a local analysis of these edges help this classifier?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

#### Answer: Yes !

- Train class-specific local classifiers of edges.
- Given an edge map, obtain one class-specific edge map per class.
- Train the contours-based classifier on these new maps.



#### Is there a bike?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで



#### Is there a car?

(a)



#### Is there a motobike?

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



#### Is there a person?



Is there a bike, a motorbike, a car or a person on this image?



#### Is there a bike?



#### Is there a car?



Is there a motobike?

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)



Is there a person?

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

## Applications to computer vision performance gain due to the prefiltering

Ours + [Leordeanu '07]	[Leordeanu '07]	[Winn '05]
96.8%	89.4% 76.9%	

Recognition rates for the same experiment as  $\left[ Winn~'05\right]$  on VOC 2005.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

#### Applications to computer vision performance gain due to the prefiltering

Category	Ours+[Leordeanu '07]	[Leordeanu '07]
Aeroplane	71.9%	61.9%
Boat	67.1%	56.4%
Cat	82.6%	53.4%
Cow	68.7%	59.2%
Horse	76.0%	67%
Motorbike	80.6%	73.6%
Sheep	72.9%	58.4%
Tvmonitor	87.7%	83.8%
Average	75.9%	64.2 %

Recognition performance at equal error rate for 8 classes on a subset of images from Pascal 07.

#### Some related works on edges

- Pb: [Martin et al. '04]
- UCM: [Arbelaez '06]
- BEL: [Dollar et al. '06]
- gPb: [Maire et al. '08]
- Class-specific edge detection: [Prasad et al. '06]

#### A few conclusions

- Sparse representations are a powerful tool for image restoration.
- The learning of sparse representations should be discriminative for recognition tasks.
- Discriminative sparse representations are well adapted to some computer vision tasks such as edge analysis.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のへで

#### Some future directions

- Learning jointly global and local classifiers.
- Learning sparse representations for bags of features.
- Exploiting the coefficients of the sparse decompositions: [Mairal, Bach, Ponce, Sapiro & Zisserman NIPS '08].