

# QUANTITATIVE RECURRENCE FOR BETA EXPANSION

Wang BaoWei

Huazhong University of Science and Technology

July 8 2010

# Contents

- 1 Introduction
  - Background
  - Beta expansion
- 2 Quantitative recurrence for beta expansion
  - Known results and our formulations
  - Proofs
- 3 Further study

- 1 Introduction
  - Background
  - Beta expansion
- 2 Quantitative recurrence for beta expansion
- 3 Further study

# Background

A well known theory on recurrence is Poincaré's recurrence theorem.

## Theorem (Poincaré's Recurrence Theorem)

*Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a measurable transformation on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(B) > 0$ . Then for almost all points  $x \in B$ , the orbit  $\{T^n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$  returns to  $B$  infinitely often.*

# Background

A well known theory on recurrence is Poincaré's recurrence theorem.

## Theorem (Poincaré's Recurrence Theorem)

*Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a measurable transformation on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(B) > 0$ . Then for almost all points  $x \in B$ , the orbit  $\{T^n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$  returns to  $B$  infinitely often.*

However, this information is only of qualitative nature and it is only concerned with a fixed target  $B$ .

# Problems

## Problem 1

With which frequency an orbit visits a given set of positive measure;  
and

# Problems

## Problem 1

With which frequency an orbit visits a given set of positive measure;  
and

## Problem 2

With which rate a given point returns to an arbitrarily small neighborhood of itself.

# Problems

## Problem 1

With which frequency an orbit visits a given set of positive measure;  
and

## Problem 2

With which rate a given point returns to an arbitrarily small neighborhood of itself.

## Problem 3

What happens if the ball  $B$  shrinks with time and more generally if the ball also moves around with time?



# Beta expansion

Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real number. The beta transformation with respect to  $\beta$  is given as

$$T_\beta(x) = \beta x \pmod{1}.$$

Hence, any  $x \in [0, 1)$  can be expanded uniquely as a finite or infinite series with the form

$$x = \frac{\epsilon_1(x, \beta)}{\beta} + \frac{\epsilon_2(x, \beta)}{\beta^2} + \dots,$$

where  $\epsilon_n(x, \beta) = [\beta T_\beta^{n-1}(x)]$  are called the digits of  $x$  and the sequence  $(\epsilon_1(x, \beta), \epsilon_2(x, \beta), \dots)$  is called the sequence of the beta expansion of  $x$  with respect to the base  $\beta$ .

# Admissible sequence

## Definition

An  $n$ -block  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  is called admissible in base  $\beta$  if there exists  $x \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\epsilon_k(x, \beta) = \epsilon_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .  
An infinite sequence  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n, \dots)$  is admissible in base  $\beta$  if  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k)$  is admissible in base  $\beta$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

# Admissible sequence

## Definition

*An  $n$ -block  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  is called admissible in base  $\beta$  if there exists  $x \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\epsilon_k(x, \beta) = \epsilon_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .  
An infinite sequence  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n, \dots)$  is admissible in base  $\beta$  if  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k)$  is admissible in base  $\beta$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .*

Denote by  $\Sigma_\beta$  all infinite admissible sequence in the beta expansion with respect to the base  $\beta$ .

# Characterizations on admissible sequence

## Theorem (W. Parry)

Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real number and  $\epsilon(1, \beta)$  be the  $\beta$ -expansion of 1. We denote by  $w$  an infinite sequence of positive integer.

(1) If  $\epsilon(1, \beta)$  is infinite,  $w \in \Sigma_\beta$  if and only if  $\sigma^k(w) <_{lex} \epsilon(1, \beta)$ , for all  $k \geq 0$ .

(2) If  $\epsilon(1, \beta)$  is finite, i.e.,  $\epsilon(1, \beta) = (\epsilon_1(1, \beta), \dots, \epsilon_n(1, \beta), 0^\infty)$  with  $\epsilon_n(1, \beta) \neq 0$ . Then  $w \in \Sigma_\beta$  if and only if  $\sigma^k(w) <_{lex} \epsilon^*(1, \beta)$ , for all  $k \geq 0$ . where  $\epsilon^*(1, \beta) = (\epsilon_1(1, \beta), \epsilon_2(1, \beta), \dots, \epsilon_{n-1}(1, \beta), (\epsilon_n(1, \beta) - 1))^\infty$  is a purely periodic sequence.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quantitative recurrence for beta expansion
  - Known results and our formulations
  - Proofs
- 3 Further study

# Known results

Quantitative recurrence properties to general settings.

## Theorem (Boshernitzan)

*Let  $(X, \mu, T, d)$  be a measure dynamical system with a metric  $d$ . Assume that, for some  $\alpha > 0$ , the Hausdorff  $\alpha$ -measure  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on the space  $X$ . Then for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} d(T^n x, x) < \infty.$$

*If, moreover,  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(X) = 0$ , then for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} d(T^n x, x) = 0.$$

When applied to beta transformation, one has, almost surely,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nd(T^n x, x) < \infty.$$

Quantitative recurrence properties to beta transformations.

### Theorem (W. Philipp)

Let  $\phi$  be a positive function defined on natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ . The set

$$R_\beta(\phi) := \left\{ x \in [0, 1] : T_\beta^n x \in B(x, \phi(n)^{-1}), \text{ infinitely often } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a full or null set if and only if the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \phi^{-1}(n)$  diverges or not.



# Our formulations

Given a general function  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , find the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\left\{ x \in [0, 1] : |T_\beta^n x - x| < \phi(n)^{-1}, \text{ infinitely often } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

# Our formulations

Given a general function  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , find the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\left\{ x \in [0, 1] : |T_\beta^n x - x| < \phi(n)^{-1}, \text{ infinitely often } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

## Theorem (Main result)

For any  $\beta > 1$ ,

$$\dim_H R_\beta(\phi) = \frac{1}{1+b}, \text{ where } b = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_\beta \phi(n)}{n}.$$

# Difficulties

In studying the metric theory related to beta transformation, one of the big obstacle lies in estimating the length of a cylinder

$$I_{\beta}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) = \{x \in [0, 1] : \epsilon_k(x, \beta) = \epsilon_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

for general  $\beta > 1$ .

# Our strategy

## Principle

As far as Hausdorff dimension or metric theory is concerned, we can discard some bad points without affecting the final results.

# Our strategy

## Principle

As far as Hausdorff dimension or metric theory is concerned, we can discard some bad points without affecting the final results.

As a result, we confine our attention to "good" points:

$$\{x = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, \dots) : (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}\},$$

where  $\beta_N$  is defined as the solution to the equation

$$1 = \frac{\epsilon_1(1, \beta)}{\beta_N} + \frac{\epsilon_2(1, \beta)}{\beta_N^2} + \dots + \frac{\epsilon_N(1, \beta)}{\beta_N^N}.$$

By the criterion for admissible sequence, one can know easy that

$$\Sigma_{\beta_N} \subset \Sigma_{\beta}.$$

More importantly, for any admissible block  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$ ,  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, 0^N, 1) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$ , so is in  $\Sigma_{\beta}$ .

By the criterion for admissible sequence, one can know easy that

$$\Sigma_{\beta_N} \subset \Sigma_{\beta}.$$

More importantly, for any admissible block  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$ ,  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, 0^N, 1) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$ , so is in  $\Sigma_{\beta}$ .

As a consequence,

### Proposition

*For any admissible block  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$ , then it holds the following length estimation*

$$\left| I_{\beta}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \right| \asymp \frac{1}{\beta^n}.$$

# Cantor subset

It is easy to see that if the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $T^n x$  and that of  $x$  coincide in a long block from the very beginning, one can make sure that  $T^n x$  and  $x$  are close enough. So, our Cantor subset is defined as follows.



# Cantor subset

It is easy to see that if the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $T^n x$  and that of  $x$  coincide in a long block from the very beginning, one can make sure that  $T^n x$  and  $x$  are close enough. So, our Cantor subset is defined as follows. Level 1.

$$E_1 = \bigcup_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_1} \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}^{n_1}} I_{\beta}((\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_1} 0^N)^{w_1+1} 0^N)$$

where  $w_1$  is some rational with  $w_1(n_1 + N) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\beta^{-(n_1+N)w_1} < \phi(n_1)^{-1}, \text{ but } \beta^{-(n_1+N)w_1+1} \geq \phi(n_1)^{-1}.$$

Then it follows that, for any  $x \in I_{\beta}((\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_1} 0^N)^{w_1+1} 0^N)$ ,

$$\left| T_{\beta}^{n_1} x - x \right| < \frac{1}{\phi(n_1)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Level 2. Write  $m_1 = (w_1 + 1)(n_1 + N)$ ,  $t_2 = n_2 - m_1$ . For each  $I_\beta = I_\beta(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{m_1})$ , set

$$E_2(I_\beta) = \bigcup_{\epsilon_{m_1+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{n_2} \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}^{t_2}} I_\beta((\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_2} 0^N)^{w_2+1} 0^N),$$

where  $w_2$  is some rational with  $w_2(n_2 + N) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\beta^{-(n_2+N)w_2} < \phi(n_2^{-1}), \text{ but } \beta^{-(n_2+N)w_2+1} \geq \phi(n_2^{-1}).$$

Level 2. Write  $m_1 = (w_1 + 1)(n_1 + N)$ ,  $t_2 = n_2 - m_1$ . For each  $I_\beta = I_\beta(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{m_1})$ , set

$$E_2(I_\beta) = \bigcup_{\epsilon_{m_1+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{n_2} \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}^{t_2}} I_\beta((\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_2} 0^N)^{w_2+1} 0^N),$$

where  $w_2$  is some rational with  $w_2(n_2 + N) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\beta^{-(n_2+N)w_2} < \phi(n_2^{-1}), \text{ but } \beta^{-(n_2+N)w_2+1} \geq \phi(n_2^{-1}).$$

Then finally, define

$$E_2 = \bigcup_{I_\beta(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{m_1}) \in E_1} E_2(I_\beta(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{m_1})).$$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quantitative recurrence for beta expansion
- 3 Further study**

## Further study

Size of the level set defined by the Birkhoff ergodic related to beta expansion.

### Theorem (Fan, Feng, Wu)

Let  $(\Sigma_A, T)$  be a topologically mixing subshift of finite type on an alphabet consisting of  $m$  symbols and let  $\Phi : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a continuous function. For any possible limit of the ergodic limit  $\alpha$  of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi(T^j x)$ , one has

$$h_{\text{top}} \left( x \in \Sigma_A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi(T^j x) = \alpha \right) = \sup \left\{ h_{\mu} : \int \Phi d\mu = \alpha \right\}$$

where  $h_{\mu}$  denotes the entropy of  $\mu$  and  $h_{\text{top}}$  denotes the topological entropy. c d.

If we relate above system with beta shift, it deals with the  $\beta$  with finite expansion of the unit 1. Then how about the case for general  $\beta$ .

### Question 1

Given  $\beta > 1$ . Denote by  $\Sigma_\beta$  the collection of all infinite admissible sequence in the beta expansion in base  $\beta$ . Let  $\Phi : \Sigma_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. How about the size of the set

$$\left\{ x \in [0, 1] : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi(T^j(\epsilon(x, \beta))) = \alpha \right\},$$

where  $\epsilon(x, \beta)$  denotes the sequence of the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $x$ .

Shrinking target problems for beta transformation.

### Question 2

Given  $\beta > 1$  and  $x_0 \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a positive function defined on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Find the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\left\{ x \in [0, 1] : \left| T^n x - x_0 \right| < \Phi(n), \text{ for infinite many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

# Thanks

# THANK YOU!