

QUANTITATIVE RECURRENCE FOR BETA EXPANSION

Wang BaoWei

Huazhong University of Science and Technology

July 8 2010

Contents

- 1 Introduction
 - Background
 - Beta expansion
- 2 Quantitative recurrence for beta expansion
 - Known results and our formulations
 - Proofs
- 3 Further study

- 1 Introduction
 - Background
 - Beta expansion
- 2 Quantitative recurrence for beta expansion
- 3 Further study

Background

A well known theory on recurrence is Poincaré's recurrence theorem.

Theorem (Poincaré's Recurrence Theorem)

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a measurable transformation on a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(B) > 0$. Then for almost all points $x \in B$, the orbit $\{T^n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ returns to B infinitely often.

Background

A well known theory on recurrence is Poincaré's recurrence theorem.

Theorem (Poincaré's Recurrence Theorem)

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a measurable transformation on a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(B) > 0$. Then for almost all points $x \in B$, the orbit $\{T^n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ returns to B infinitely often.

However, this information is only of qualitative nature and it is only concerned with a fixed target B .

Problems

Problem 1

With which frequency an orbit visits a given set of positive measure;
and

Problems

Problem 1

With which frequency an orbit visits a given set of positive measure;
and

Problem 2

With which rate a given point returns to an arbitrarily small neighborhood of itself.

Problems

Problem 1

With which frequency an orbit visits a given set of positive measure;
and

Problem 2

With which rate a given point returns to an arbitrarily small neighborhood of itself.

Problem 3

What happens if the ball B shrinks with time and more generally if the ball also moves around with time?

Beta expansion

Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number. The beta transformation with respect to β is given as

$$T_\beta(x) = \beta x \pmod{1}.$$

Hence, any $x \in [0, 1)$ can be expanded uniquely as a finite or infinite series with the form

$$x = \frac{\epsilon_1(x, \beta)}{\beta} + \frac{\epsilon_2(x, \beta)}{\beta^2} + \dots,$$

where $\epsilon_n(x, \beta) = [\beta T_\beta^{n-1}(x)]$ are called the digits of x and the sequence $(\epsilon_1(x, \beta), \epsilon_2(x, \beta), \dots)$ is called the sequence of the beta expansion of x with respect to the base β .

Admissible sequence

Definition

An n -block $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ is called admissible in base β if there exists $x \in [0, 1]$ such that $\epsilon_k(x, \beta) = \epsilon_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.
An infinite sequence $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n, \dots)$ is admissible in base β if $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k)$ is admissible in base β for all $k \geq 1$.

Admissible sequence

Definition

*An n -block $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ is called admissible in base β if there exists $x \in [0, 1]$ such that $\epsilon_k(x, \beta) = \epsilon_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.
An infinite sequence $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n, \dots)$ is admissible in base β if $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k)$ is admissible in base β for all $k \geq 1$.*

Denote by Σ_β all infinite admissible sequence in the beta expansion with respect to the base β .

Characterizations on admissible sequence

Theorem (W. Parry)

Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number and $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ be the β -expansion of 1. We denote by w an infinite sequence of positive integer.

(1) If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is infinite, $w \in \Sigma_\beta$ if and only if $\sigma^k(w) <_{lex} \epsilon(1, \beta)$, for all $k \geq 0$.

(2) If $\epsilon(1, \beta)$ is finite, i.e., $\epsilon(1, \beta) = (\epsilon_1(1, \beta), \dots, \epsilon_n(1, \beta), 0^\infty)$ with $\epsilon_n(1, \beta) \neq 0$. Then $w \in \Sigma_\beta$ if and only if $\sigma^k(w) <_{lex} \epsilon^*(1, \beta)$, for all $k \geq 0$. where $\epsilon^*(1, \beta) = (\epsilon_1(1, \beta), \epsilon_2(1, \beta), \dots, \epsilon_{n-1}(1, \beta), (\epsilon_n(1, \beta) - 1))^\infty$ is a purely periodic sequence.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quantitative recurrence for beta expansion
 - Known results and our formulations
 - Proofs
- 3 Further study

Known results

Quantitative recurrence properties to general settings.

Theorem (Boshernitzan)

Let (X, μ, T, d) be a measure dynamical system with a metric d . Assume that, for some $\alpha > 0$, the Hausdorff α -measure \mathcal{H}^α is σ -finite on the space X . Then for μ -almost all $x \in X$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} d(T^n x, x) < \infty.$$

If, moreover, $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(X) = 0$, then for μ -almost all $x \in X$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} d(T^n x, x) = 0.$$

When applied to beta transformation, one has, almost surely,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nd(T^n x, x) < \infty.$$

Quantitative recurrence properties to beta transformations.

Theorem (W. Philipp)

Let ϕ be a positive function defined on natural numbers \mathbb{N} . The set

$$R_\beta(\phi) := \left\{ x \in [0, 1] : T_\beta^n x \in B(x, \phi(n)^{-1}), \text{ infinitely often } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a full or null set if and only if the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \phi^{-1}(n)$ diverges or not.

Our formulations

Given a general function $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, find the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\left\{ x \in [0, 1] : |T_\beta^n x - x| < \phi(n)^{-1}, \text{ infinitely often } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Our formulations

Given a general function $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, find the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\left\{ x \in [0, 1] : |T_\beta^n x - x| < \phi(n)^{-1}, \text{ infinitely often } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Theorem (Main result)

For any $\beta > 1$,

$$\dim_H R_\beta(\phi) = \frac{1}{1+b}, \text{ where } b = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_\beta \phi(n)}{n}.$$

Difficulties

In studying the metric theory related to beta transformation, one of the big obstacle lies in estimating the length of a cylinder

$$I_{\beta}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) = \{x \in [0, 1] : \epsilon_k(x, \beta) = \epsilon_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

for general $\beta > 1$.

Our strategy

Principle

As far as Hausdorff dimension or metric theory is concerned, we can discard some bad points without affecting the final results.

Our strategy

Principle

As far as Hausdorff dimension or metric theory is concerned, we can discard some bad points without affecting the final results.

As a result, we confine our attention to "good" points:

$$\{x = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, \dots) : (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}\},$$

where β_N is defined as the solution to the equation

$$1 = \frac{\epsilon_1(1, \beta)}{\beta_N} + \frac{\epsilon_2(1, \beta)}{\beta_N^2} + \dots + \frac{\epsilon_N(1, \beta)}{\beta_N^N}.$$

By the criterion for admissible sequence, one can know easy that

$$\Sigma_{\beta_N} \subset \Sigma_{\beta}.$$

More importantly, for any admissible block $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$, $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, 0^N, 1) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$, so is in Σ_{β} .

By the criterion for admissible sequence, one can know easy that

$$\Sigma_{\beta_N} \subset \Sigma_{\beta}.$$

More importantly, for any admissible block $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$, $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, 0^N, 1) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$, so is in Σ_{β} .

As a consequence,

Proposition

For any admissible block $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}$, then it holds the following length estimation

$$\left| I_{\beta}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \right| \asymp \frac{1}{\beta^n}.$$

Cantor subset

It is easy to see that if the β -expansion of $T^n x$ and that of x coincide in a long block from the very beginning, one can make sure that $T^n x$ and x are close enough. So, our Cantor subset is defined as follows.

Cantor subset

It is easy to see that if the β -expansion of $T^n x$ and that of x coincide in a long block from the very beginning, one can make sure that $T^n x$ and x are close enough. So, our Cantor subset is defined as follows. Level 1.

$$E_1 = \bigcup_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_1} \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}^{n_1}} I_{\beta}((\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_1} 0^N)^{w_1+1} 0^N)$$

where w_1 is some rational with $w_1(n_1 + N) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\beta^{-(n_1+N)w_1} < \phi(n_1)^{-1}, \text{ but } \beta^{-(n_1+N)w_1+1} \geq \phi(n_1)^{-1}.$$

Then it follows that, for any $x \in I_{\beta}((\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_1} 0^N)^{w_1+1} 0^N)$,

$$\left| T_{\beta}^{n_1} x - x \right| < \frac{1}{\phi(n_1)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Level 2. Write $m_1 = (w_1 + 1)(n_1 + N)$, $t_2 = n_2 - m_1$. For each $I_\beta = I_\beta(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{m_1})$, set

$$E_2(I_\beta) = \bigcup_{\epsilon_{m_1+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{n_2} \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}^{t_2}} I_\beta((\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_2} 0^N)^{w_2+1} 0^N),$$

where w_2 is some rational with $w_2(n_2 + N) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\beta^{-(n_2+N)w_2} < \phi(n_2^{-1}), \text{ but } \beta^{-(n_2+N)w_2+1} \geq \phi(n_2^{-1}).$$

Level 2. Write $m_1 = (w_1 + 1)(n_1 + N)$, $t_2 = n_2 - m_1$. For each $I_\beta = I_\beta(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{m_1})$, set

$$E_2(I_\beta) = \bigcup_{\epsilon_{m_1+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{n_2} \in \Sigma_{\beta_N}^{t_2}} I_\beta((\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n_2} 0^N)^{w_2+1} 0^N),$$

where w_2 is some rational with $w_2(n_2 + N) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\beta^{-(n_2+N)w_2} < \phi(n_2^{-1}), \text{ but } \beta^{-(n_2+N)w_2+1} \geq \phi(n_2^{-1}).$$

Then finally, define

$$E_2 = \bigcup_{I_\beta(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{m_1}) \in E_1} E_2(I_\beta(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{m_1})).$$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quantitative recurrence for beta expansion
- 3 Further study**

Further study

Size of the level set defined by the Birkhoff ergodic related to beta expansion.

Theorem (Fan, Feng, Wu)

Let (Σ_A, T) be a topologically mixing subshift of finite type on an alphabet consisting of m symbols and let $\Phi : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a continuous function. For any possible limit of the ergodic limit α of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi(T^j x)$, one has

$$h_{\text{top}} \left(x \in \Sigma_A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi(T^j x) = \alpha \right) = \sup \left\{ h_{\mu} : \int \Phi d\mu = \alpha \right\}$$

where h_{μ} denotes the entropy of μ and h_{top} denotes the topological entropy. c d.

If we relate above system with beta shift, it deals with the β with finite expansion of the unit 1. Then how about the case for general β .

Question 1

Given $\beta > 1$. Denote by Σ_β the collection of all infinite admissible sequence in the beta expansion in base β . Let $\Phi : \Sigma_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. How about the size of the set

$$\left\{ x \in [0, 1] : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi(T^j(\epsilon(x, \beta))) = \alpha \right\},$$

where $\epsilon(x, \beta)$ denotes the sequence of the β -expansion of x .

Shrinking target problems for beta transformation.

Question 2

Given $\beta > 1$ and $x_0 \in [0, 1)$. Let Φ be a positive function defined on \mathbb{N} . Find the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$\left\{ x \in [0, 1] : \left| T^n x - x_0 \right| < \Phi(n), \text{ for infinite many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Thanks

THANK YOU!