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2. Overall Objectives

2.1. Project overview

The overall goal of the CELTIQUE project is to improve the security and reliability of software with semantics-based modeling, analysis and certification techniques. To achieve this goal, the project conducts work on improving semantic description and analysis techniques, as well as work on using proof assistants (most notably Coq) to develop and prove properties of these techniques. We are applying such techniques to a variety of source languages, including Java, C, and JavaScript. We also study how these techniques apply to low-level languages, and how they can be combined with certified compilation. The CompCert certified compiler and its intermediate representations are used for much of our work on semantic modeling and analysis of C and lower-level representations.

The semantic analyses extract approximate but sound descriptions of software behaviour from which a proof of safety or security can be constructed. The analyses of interest include numerical data flow analysis, control flow analysis for higher-order languages, alias and points-to analysis for heap structure manipulation. In particular, we have designed several analyses for information flow control, aimed at computing attacker knowledge and detecting side channels.

We work with three application domains: Java software for small devices (in particular smart cards and mobile telephones), embedded C programs, and web applications.

CELTIQUE is a joint project with the CNRS, the University of Rennes 1 and ENS Rennes.

3. New Software and Platforms

3.1. Jacal

_JavaCard AnaLyseur_

**KEYWORDS:** JavaCard - Certification - Static program analysis - AFSCM

**FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION:** Jacal is a JavaCard AnaLyseur developed on top of the SAWJA platform. This proprietary software verifies automatically that JavaCard programs conform with the security guidelines issued by the AFSCM (Association Française du Sans Contact Mobile). Jacal is based on the theory of abstract interpretation and combines several object-oriented and numeric analyses to automatically infer sophisticated invariants about the program behaviour. The result of the analysis is thereafter harvest to check that it is sufficient to ensure the desired security properties.

- **Participants:** David Pichardie, Delphine Demange, Frédéric Besson and Thomas Jensen
- **Contact:** Thomas Jensen
3.2. Javalib

**FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION:** Javalib is an efficient library to parse Java .class files into OCaml data structures, thus enabling the OCaml programmer to extract information from class files, to manipulate and to generate valid .class files.

- **Participants:** David Pichardie, Frédéric Besson, Laurent Guillo, Laurent Hubert, Nicolas Barré, Pierre Vittet and Tiphaine Turpin
- **Contact:** Frédéric Besson
- **URL:** [http://sawja.inria.fr/](http://sawja.inria.fr/)

3.3. JSCert

*Certified JavaScript*

**FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION:** The JSCert project aims to really understand JavaScript. JSCert itself is a mechanised specification of JavaScript, written in the Coq proof assistant, which closely follows the ECMAScript 5 English standard. JSRef is a reference interpreter for JavaScript in OCaml, which has been proved correct with respect to JSCert and tested with the Test 262 test suite.

- **Participants:** Alan Schmitt and Martin Bodin
- **Partner:** Imperial College London
- **Contact:** Alan Schmitt
- **URL:** [http://jscert.org/](http://jscert.org/)

3.4. SAWJA

*Static Analysis Workshop for Java*

**KEYWORDS:** Security - Software - Code review - Smart card

**SCIENTIFIC DESCRIPTION:** Sawja is a library written in OCaml, relying on Javalib to provide a high level representation of Java bytecode programs. It name comes from Static Analysis Workshop for J A va. Whereas Javalib is dedicated to isolated classes, Sawja handles bytecode programs with their class hierarchy and with control flow algorithms.

Moreover, Sawja provides some stackless intermediate representations of code, called JBir and A3Bir. The transformation algorithm, common to these representations, has been formalized and proved to be semantics-preserving.

See also the web page [http://sawja.inria.fr/](http://sawja.inria.fr/).

**Version:** 1.5

**Programming language:** Ocaml

**FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION:** Sawja is a toolbox for developing static analysis of Java code in bytecode format. Sawja provides advanced algorithms for reconstructing high-level programme representations. The SawjaCard tool dedicated to JavaCard is based on the Sawja infrastructure and automatically validates the security guidelines issued by AFSCM ([http://www.afscm.org/](http://www.afscm.org/)). SawjaCard can automate the code audit process and automatic verification of functional properties.

- **Participants:** David Pichardie, Frédéric Besson and Laurent Guillo
- **Partners:** CNRS - ENS Cachan
- **Contact:** Frédéric Besson
- **URL:** [http://sawja.inria.fr/](http://sawja.inria.fr/)

3.5. Timbuk

**KEYWORDS:** Demonstration - Ocaml - Vérification de programmes - Tree Automata
FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: Timbuk is a collection of tools for achieving proofs of reachability over Term Rewriting Systems and for manipulating Tree Automata (bottom-up non-deterministic finite tree automata)

RELEASE FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: This version does no longer include the tree automata library but focuses on reachability analysis and equational approximations.

- Participant: Thomas Genet
- Contact: Thomas Genet
- URL: http://www.irisa.fr/celtique/genet/timbuk/

4. New Results

4.1. Higher-Order Process Calcuuli

Participants: Sergueï Lenglet, Alan Schmitt.

Sergueï Lenglet and Alan Schmitt, in collaboration with researchers at Wrocław university, designed a fully abstract encoding of the \(\lambda\)-calculus into HOcore, a minimal higher-order process calculus. This work has been published at LICS [37]. In parallel, Lenglet and Schmitt have formalized HO\(\pi\) in Coq and showed that its bisimilarity is compatible using Howe’s method. This work has been accepted for publication at CPP 2018 [30].

4.2. Certified Semantics and Analyses for JavaScript

Participants: Gurvan Cabon, Alan Schmitt.

Alan Schmitt has continued his collaboration with Arthur Charguéraud (Inria Nancy) and Thomas Wood (Imperial College London) to develop JSExplain, an interpreter for JavaScript that is as close as possible to the specification. The tool is publicly available at https://github.com/jscert/jsexplain and is being extended to cover the current version of the standard.

In parallel, Gurvan Cabon and Alan Schmitt have developed a framework to automatically derive an information-flow tracking semantics from a pretty-big-step semantics. This work has been published [34] and is being formalized in Coq.

4.3. Certified Concurrent Garbage Collector

Participants: Yannick Zakowski, David Cachera, Delphine Demange, David Pichardie.

Concurrent garbage collection algorithms are an emblematic challenge in the area of concurrent program verification. We addressed this problem by proposing a mechanized proof methodology based on the popular Rely-Guarantee (RG) proof technique. We designed a specific compiler intermediate representation (IR) with strong type guarantees, dedicated support for abstract concurrent data structures, and high-level iterators on runtime internals (objects, roots, fields, thread identifiers...). In addition, we defined an RG program logic supporting an incremental proof methodology where annotations and invariants can be progressively enriched. We have formalized the IR, the proof system, and proved the soundness of the methodology in the Coq proof assistant. Equipped with this IR, we have proved the correctness of a fully concurrent garbage collector where mutators never have to wait for the collector. This work has been published in [32].

In this work, reasoning simultaneously about the garbage collection algorithm and the concrete implementation of the concurrent data-structures it uses would have entailed an undesired and unnecessary complexity. The above proof is therefore conducted with respect to abstract operations which execute atomically. In practice, however, concurrent data-structures uses fine-grained concurrency, for performance reasons. One must therefore prove an observational refinement between the abstract concurrent data-structures and their fine-grained, “linearisable” implementation. To adress this issue, we introduce a methodology inspired by the work of Vafeiadis, and provide the approach with solid semantic foundations. Assuming that fine-grained implementations are proved correct with respect to an RG specification encompassing linearization conditions, we prove, once and for all, that this entails a semantic refinement of their abstraction. This methodology is instantiated to prove correct the main data-structure used in our garbage collector. This work has been published in [33].
4.4. Static analysis of functional programs using tree automata and term rewriting

Participants: Thomas Genet, Thomas Jensen, Timothée Haudebourg.

We develop a specific theory and the related tools for analyzing programs whose semantics is defined using term rewriting systems. The analysis principle is based on regular approximations of infinite sets of terms reachable by rewriting. Regular tree languages are (possibly) infinite languages which can be finitely represented using tree automata. To over-approximate sets of reachable terms, the tools we develop use the Tree Automata Completion (TAC) algorithm to compute a tree automaton recognizing a superset of all reachable terms. This over-approximation is then used to prove properties on the program by showing that some “bad” terms, encoding dangerous or problematic configurations, are not in the superset and thus not reachable. This is a specific form of, so-called, Regular Tree Model Checking. We have already shown that tree automata completion can safely over-approximate the image of any first-order complete and terminating functional program. We have extended this result to the case of higher-order functional programs and obtained very encouraging experimental results. Besides, we have shown that completion was able to take the evaluation strategy of the program into account. The next step is to show the completeness of the approach, i.e., that any regular approximation of the image of a function can be found using completion. We already made progress in this direction.

4.5. C Semantics and Certified Compilation

Participants: Frédéric Besson, Sandrine Blazy.

The COMP CERT C compiler provides the formal guarantee that the observable behaviour of the compiled code improves on the observable behaviour of the source code. A first limitation of this guarantee is that if the source code goes wrong, i.e., does not have a well-defined behaviour, any compiled code is compliant. Another limitation is that COMP CERT’s notion of observable behaviour is restricted to IO events.

Over the past years, we have refined the semantics underlying COMP CERT so that (unlike COMP CERT but like GCC) the binary representation of pointers can be manipulated much like integers and such that memory is a finite resource. We have now a formally verified C compiler, COMP CERTS, which is essentially the COMP CERT compiler, albeit with a stronger formal guarantee. The semantics preservation theorem applies to a wider class of existing C programs and, therefore, their compiled version benefits from the formal guarantee of COMP CERTS. COMP CERTS preserves not only the observable behaviour of programs but also ensures that the memory consumption is preserved by the compiler. As a result, we have the formal guarantee that the compiled code requires no more memory than the source code. This ensures that the absence of stack-overflows is preserved by compilation.

The whole proof of COMP CERTS represents a significant proof-effort. Details about the formal definition of the semantics and the proof of compiler passes can be found in the following publications.

4.6. Constant-time verification by compilation and static analysis

Participants: Sandrine Blazy, David Pichardie, Alix Trieu.

To protect their implementations, cryptographers follow a very strict programming discipline called constant-time programming. They avoid branchings controlled by secret data as an attacker could use timing attacks, which are a broad class of side-channel attacks that measure different execution times of a program in order to infer some of its secret values. Several real-world secure C libraries such as NaCl, mbedTLS, or Open Quantum Safe, follow this discipline. We propose an advanced static analysis, based on state-of-the-art techniques from abstract interpretation, to report time leakage during programming. To that purpose, we analyze source C programs and use full context-sensitive and arithmetic-aware alias analyses to track the tainted flows. We give semantic evidences of the correctness of our approach on a core language. We also present a prototype implementation for C programs that is based on the CompCert compiler toolchain and its companion Verasco static analyzer. We present verification results on various real-world constant-time programs and report on a successful verification of a challenging SHA-256 implementation that was out of scope of previous tool-assisted approaches. This work has been published at ESORICS’17.
The previous technique is well-adapted to verify the constant-time discipline at source level and give feedback to programmers, but the final security property must be established on the executable form of the program. In a joint work with IMDEA Software (Gilles Barthe and Vincent Laporte), we propose an automated methodology for validating on low-level intermediate representations the results of a source-level static analysis. Our methodology relies on two main ingredients: a relative-safety checker, an instance of a relational verifier which proves that a program is safer than another, and a transformation of programs into defensive form which verifies the analysis results at runtime. We prove the soundness of the methodology, and provide a formally verified instantiation based on the Verasco verified C static analyzer and the CompCert verified C compiler. This work has been published at CSF’17 [24].

5. Partnerships and Cooperations

5.1. National Initiatives

5.1.1. The ANR AnaStaSec project

Participants: Frédéric Besson, Sandrine Blazy, Thomas Jensen, Alexandre Dang, Julien Lepiller.

Static program analysis, Security, Secure compilation

The AnaStaSec project (2015–2018) aims at ensuring security properties of embedded critical systems using static analysis and security enhancing compiler techniques. The case studies are airborne embedded software with ground communication capabilities. The Celtique project focuses on software fault isolation which is a compiler technology to ensure by construction a strong segregation of tasks.

This is a joint project with the Inria teams ANTIQUE and PROSECCO, CEA-LIST, TrustInSoft, AMOSSYS and Airbus Group.

5.1.2. The ANR Binsec project

Participants: Frédéric Besson, Sandrine Blazy, Pierre Wilke, Julien Lepiller.

Binary code, Static program analysis

The Binsec project (2013–2017) is funded by the call ISN 2012, a program of the Agence Nationale de la Recherche. The goal of the BINSEC project is to develop static analysis techniques and tools for performing automatic security analyses of binary code. We target two main applicative domains: vulnerability analysis and virus detection.

Binsec is a joint project with the Inria CARTE team, CEA LIS, VERIMAG and EADS IW.

5.1.3. The ANR MALTHY project

Participant: David Cachera.

The MALTHY project, funded by ANR in the program INS 2013, aims at advancing the state-of-the-art in real-time and hybrid model checking by applying advanced methods and tools from linear algebra and algebraic geometry. MALTHY is coordinated by VERIMAG, involving CEA-LIST, Inria Rennes (Tamis and Celtique), Inria Saclay (MAXPLUS) and VISEO/Object Direct.

5.1.4. The ANR AJACS project

Participants: Martin Bodin, Gurvan Cabon, Thomas Jensen, Alan Schmitt.

The goal of the AJACS project is to provide strong security and privacy guarantees on the client side for web application scripts. To this end, we propose to define a mechanized semantics of the full JavaScript language, the most widely used language for the Web. We then propose to develop and prove correct analyses for JavaScript programs, in particular information flow analyses that guarantee no secret information is leaked to malicious parties. The definition of sub-languages of JavaScript, with certified compilation techniques targeting them, will allow us to derive more precise analyses. Finally, we propose to design and certify security and privacy enforcement mechanisms for web applications, including the APIs used to program real-world applications.
The project partners include the following Inria teams: Celtique, Indes, Prosecco, and Toccata; it also involves researchers from Imperial College as external collaborators. The project runs from December 2014 to November 2018.

5.1.5. The ANR DISCOVER project

Participants: Sandrine Blazy, Delphine Demange, Thomas Jensen, David Pichardie, Yon Fernandez de Retana, Yannick Zakovski.

The DISCOVER project aims at leveraging recent foundational work on formal verification and proof assistants to design, implement and verify compilation techniques used for high-level concurrent and managed programming languages. The ultimate goal of DISCOVER is to devise new formalisms and proof techniques able to scale to the mechanized correctness proof of a compiler involving a rich class of optimizations, leading to efficient and scalable applications, written in higher-level languages than those currently handled by cutting-edge verified compilers.

In the light of recent work in optimizations techniques used in production compilers of high-level languages, control-flow-graph based intermediate representations seems too rigid. Indeed, the analyses and optimizations in these compilers work on more abstract representations, where programs are represented with data and control dependencies. The most representative representation is the sea-of-nodes form, used in the Java Hotspot Server Compiler, and which is the rationale behind the highly relaxed definition of the Java memory model. DISCOVER proposes to tackle the problem of verified compilation for shared-memory concurrency with a resolute language-based approach, and to investigate the formalization of adequate program intermediate representations and associated correctness proof techniques.

The project runs from October 2014 to September 2019.

5.2. European Initiatives

5.2.1. Collaborations in European Programs, Except FP7 & H2020

Program: CA COST Action CA15123
Project acronym: EUTYPES
Project title: European research network on types for programming and verification
Duration: 03/2016 to 03/2020
Coordinator: Herman Geuvers (Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands)
Other partners: Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Macedonia, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Italy, Lithuania, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom
Abstract: Types are pervasive in programming and information technology. A type defines a formal interface between software components, allowing the automatic verification of their connections, and greatly enhancing the robustness and reliability of computations and communications. In rich dependent type theories, the full functional specification of a program can be expressed as a type. Type systems have rapidly evolved over the past years, becoming more sophisticated, capturing new aspects of the behaviour of programs and the dynamics of their execution.

This COST Action will give a strong impetus to research on type theory and its many applications in computer science, by promoting (1) the synergy between theoretical computer scientists, logicians and mathematicians to develop new foundations for type theory, for example as based on the recent development of "homotopy type theory", (2) the joint development of type theoretic tools as proof assistants and integrated programming environments, (3) the study of dependent types for programming and its deployment in software development, (4) the study of dependent types for verification and its deployment in software analysis and verification. The action will also tie together these different areas and promote cross-fertilisation.

Sandrine Blazy is Substitute Member of the Management Committee for France.
5.3. International Initiatives

5.3.1. Inria International Partners

5.3.1.1. Declared Inria International Partners

**WEBCERT**

Title: Verified Trustworthy web Applications
International Partner (Institution - Laboratory - Researcher): Imperial College London - Department of Computing - Philippa Gardner
Duration: 2015 - 2019
Start year: 2015
See also: [JSCert web page](#)

The WebCert partnership focuses on applying formal methods to the JavaScript language: mechanized specification, development of an executable formal specification, design of a program logic, development of verification tools, and study of secure sub-languages.

6. Dissemination

6.1. Promoting Scientific Activities

6.1.1. Scientific Events Selection

6.1.1.1. Chair of Conference Program Committees

- CoqPL 2017 (International Workshop on Coq for PL) was chaired by Sandrine Blazy and Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias

6.1.1.2. Member of the Conference Program Committees

- TASE 2017 (Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Software Engineering): Alan Schmitt
- Web Programming 2018: Alan Schmitt
- ProWeb 2018: Alan Schmitt
- CC 2017 (Conference on Compiler Construction): David Pichardie
- ESORICS 2017 (European Symposium on Research in Computer Security): David Pichardie
- ESOP 2017 (European Symposium on Programming): David Pichardie
- CC 2018 (Conference on Compiler Construction): David Pichardie
- AFADL 2017 (Approches Formelles dans l’Assistance au Développement de Logiciels): Sandrine Blazy
- SRC (Student Research Competition) @ PLDI 2017: Sandrine Blazy
- GPCE 2017 (Generative Programming: Concepts & Experiences): Sandrine Blazy
- IFL 2017 (International symposium on Implementation and application of Functional Languages): Sandrine Blazy
- TFP 2017 (Trends in Functional Programming): Sandrine Blazy
- CPP 2018 (ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs): Sandrine Blazy
- SAS 2017 (Static Analysis Symposium) : Thomas Jensen.

6.1.1.3. Reviewer
- POPL 2018 (Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages): Alan Schmitt

6.1.2. Journal
6.1.2.1. Reviewer - Reviewing Activities
- Information & Computation: Alan Schmitt
- Science of Computer Programming: Alan Schmitt
- Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer: Alan Schmitt
- Theoretical Computer Science: Alan Schmitt
- Journal of Logical and Algebraic Methods in Programming: Alan Schmitt
- ACM Transactions on Privacy and Security (TOPS): David Pichardie

6.1.3. Invited Talks
- Thomas Jensen: Formal methods for software security, Journée inaugurale GDR Sécurité Informatique, Paris, June 2017 [22].

6.1.4. Scientific Expertise
- Sandrine Blazy: expertise of an ERC Advanced Grant research proposal.
- Thomas Jensen is Inria representative in the European Cyber Security Organisation (ECSO) working group in Research and Innovation.

6.1.5. Research Administration
- Sandrine Blazy is member of Section 6 of the national committee for scientific research CoNRS.
- Sandrine Blazy is coordinator of the LTP (Languages, Types, Proofs) group of the French GDR GPL.
- Thomas Jensen is head of the NUMERIC department at Université Bretagne Loire.
- Thomas Jensen is director of the IT Security track and member of the executive board of the Laboratoire d’excellence “CominLabs”.

6.2. Teaching - Supervision - Juries
6.2.1. Teaching
Licence : Alan Schmitt, Programmation Fonctionnelle, 36h, L3, Insa Rennes, France
Licence : Delphine Demange, Spécialité Informatique 1 - Algorithmique et Complexité Expérimentale, 36h, L1, Université Rennes 1, France
Licence : Delphine Demange, Spécialité Informatique 2 - Functional and Immutable Programming, 70h, L1, Université Rennes 1, France
Licence : Delphine Demange, Programmation de Confiance, 36h, L3, Université Rennes 1, France
Licence : David Pichardie, Graph algorithms, 24h, L3, ESIR, France
Licence : Sandrine Blazy, Functional programming, 30h, L3, Université Rennes 1, France
Licence: Thomas Genet, Software Engineering, 58h, L2, Université de Rennes 1, France
Licence : Thomas Genet, Spécialité Informatique 1 - Algorithmic and Experimental Complexity, 42h, L1, Université Rennes 1, France
Master : Sandrine Blazy, Méthodes Formelles pour le développement de logiciels sûrs, 53h, M1, Université Rennes 1, France
Master : Alan Schmitt, Méthodes Formelles pour le développement de logiciels sûrs, 25h, M1, Université Rennes 1, France
Master : Sandrine Blazy, Mechanized Semantics, 15h, M2, Université Rennes 1, France
Master : Sandrine Blazy, Semantics, 24h, M1, Université Rennes 1, France
Master : Sandrine Blazy, Software vulnerabilities, 20h, M2, Université Rennes 1, France
Master : Delphine Demange, Software Security, 9h, M2, Université Rennes 1, France
Master : David Cachera, Semantics, 24h, M1, Université Rennes 1, France
Master : David Cachera, Advanced Semantics, 20h, M2, Université Rennes 1, France
Master : Thomas Genet, Formal Design and Verification, 108h, M1, Université de Rennes 1, France.
Master : Thomas Jensen, Program Analysis and Software Security, 21h, M2, Université Rennes 1, France

6.2.2. Supervision

PhD in progress : Timothée Haudebourg, Lightweight Formal Verification for Functional Programs, 1st october 2017, Thomas Genet and Thomas Jensen
PhD in progress : Alexandre Dang, Security by compilation, 1st september 2016, Frédéric Besson and Thomas Jensen
PhD in progress : Julien Lepiller, Binary analysis for Isolation, 1st september 2016, Frédéric Besson and Thomas Jensen
PhD in progress : Gurvan Cabon, Analyse non locale certifiée en JavaScript grâce à une sémantique annotée, 1st september 2015, Alan Schmitt
PhD in progress : Florent Saudel, Vulnerability discovery, November 2015, Sandrine Blazy, Frédéric Besson and Cédric Berthon (Amossys)
PhD in progress : Alix Trieu, Formally verified compilation and static analysis, January 2016, Sandrine Blazy and David Pichardie
PhD in progress : Yon Fernandez De Retana, Verified Optimising Compiler for high-level languages, 1st september 2015, Delphine Demange and David Pichardie
David Bühler, Structuring an abstract interpreter through value and state abstractions, defended March 2017, Sandrine Blazy and Boris Yakobowski (CEA)
Yannick Zakowski, Verification of a Concurrent Garbage Collector, defended December 2017, David Pichardie and David Cachera.
Oana Andreescu, Static analysis of functional programs with an application to the frame problem in deductive verification, May 2017, Thomas Jensen and Stéphane Lescuyer (Prove & Run).

6.2.3. Juries

- Alan Schmitt, jury member for the selection of Inria CR (researcher) candidates, March and April 2017, Inria, Rennes, France.
- Thomas Jensen, jury member for the selection of Inria CR (researcher) candidates, March and April 2017, Inria, Rennes, France.
- Sandrine Blazy, jury member for the selection of CNRS CR and DR (researchers) candidates, February and March 2017, CNRS, Paris, France.
- Sandrine Blazy, jury member for the selection of a professor at University of Copenhagen, May 2017, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Sandrine Blazy, jury member (reviewer) for the PhD defense of Romain Aïssat, January 2017, Paris-Sud University.
- Sandrine Blazy, jury member for the PhD defense of Oana Andreescu, May 2017, Université Rennes 1.
- Sandrine Blazy, jury member for the PhD defense of Ninon Eyrolles, June 2017, Université Versailles Saint-Quentin.
- Sandrine Blazy, jury member (reviewer) for the HDR defense of Alain Giorgetti, December 2017, Université de Franche-Comté.
- Sandrine Blazy, jury member for the PhD defense of Jordy Ruiz, December 2017, Université de Toulouse.
- Sandrine Blazy, jury member for the PhD defense of Pierre Lestringant, December 2017, Université Rennes 1.
- Sandrine Blazy, jury member of the GDR GPL PhD award committee.
- David Pichardie, external reviewer for the PhD defense of Hendra Gunadi, July 2017, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.
- David Pichardie, Licenciate discussion leader for the PhD student Marco Vassena, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden.
- Delphine Demange, jury member of the Gilles Kahn PhD award committee, December 2017, Inria Paris.
- Delphine Demange, jury member for the PhD defense of Pauline Bolignano, May 2017, Université Rennes 1.
- Thomas Genet, jury member (reviewer) for the PhD defense of Vivien Pelletier, October 2017, Université d’Orléans, France.
- Thomas Jensen, jury member for the HdR defense of Charlotte Truchet, November 2017, Université de Nantes, France.
- Thomas Jensen, jury member (reviewer) for the PhD defense of Zeineb Zhioua, September 2017, Télécom ParisTech, France.
- Thomas Jensen, jury member for the PhD defense of Deepak Subramanian, December 2017, CentraleSupélec, France.

6.3. Popularization
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