

Quiz

0. Which of the following statements are correct?
 - A. Σ_s is a union of subspaces of dimension s
 - B. Σ_s is a union of subspaces of different dimensions
 - C. Σ_s is a subspace of dimension s
1. Show that (1) \Leftrightarrow (2)
2. Which of the following statements might be correct? What is your intuition? And why? (we will establish the proof of one of these statements) if $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t.
 - A. M is (ϵ, s) -RIP, then M is a **good** sensing matrix (i.e. allows reconstruction).
 - B. M is (ϵ, s) -RIP, then M is a **bad** sensing matrix.
 - C. M is $(\epsilon, 2s)$ -RIP, then M is a **good** sensing matrix.
 - D. M is $(\epsilon, 2s)$ -RIP, then M is a **bad** sensing matrix.
3. Prove Lemma RIP and operator norm. To do so,
 - A. (**easy**) first show that if M is (ϵ, s) -RIP, then

$$\sup_{x_S \neq 0} \frac{\|M_S x_S\|_2^2 - \|x_S\|_2^2}{\|x_S\|_2^2} \leq \epsilon$$

- B. (**advanced**) then show that

$$\sup_{x_S \neq 0} \frac{\|M_S x_S\|_2^2 - \|x_S\|_2^2}{\|x_S\|_2^2} = \max_{x_S \neq 0} \frac{\|(M_S^T M_S - I)x_S\|_2}{\|x_S\|_2}.$$

- C. (**easy**) conclude with

$$\max_{x_S \neq 0} \frac{\|(M_S^T M_S - I)x_S\|_2}{\|x_S\|_2} = \|M_S^T M_S - I\|_{op}.$$

4. Which of the following statements are correct? If M is (ϵ, s) -RIP, then
 - A. $\forall S, M_S^T M_S \approx I$ when applied to any x_S
 - B. $M^T M \approx I$ when applied to any s -sparse vector
 - C. $M^T M \approx I$ when applied to any n - length vector
5. Which of the following statements are correct?
 - A. The theorem is a positive result: RIP guarantees the success of IHT.
 - B. The update rule of IHT ($x^l \rightarrow x^{l+1}$) is a contraction mapping
 - C. $3s$ is a typo. Should be s .
 - D. $3s$ is a typo. Should be $2s$.
6. Prove Theorem RIP is good for IHT. To do so, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} u^l &= x^l + M^T(y - Mx^l) = x^l + M^T M(x - x^l) \\ x^{l+1} &= H_s(u^l) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- First show that $\forall s$ -sparse vector x ,

$$\|u^l - x^{l+1}\|^2 \leq \|u^l - x\|^2 \tag{2}$$

- Explain all equalities and inequalities below

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u^l - x) - (x^{l+1} - x)\|^2 &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \|u^l - x\|^2 + \|x^{l+1} - x\|^2 - 2\langle (u^l - x), (x^{l+1} - x) \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \|u^l - x\|^2 \\ \|x^{l+1} - x\|^2 &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} 2\langle (u^l - x), (x^{l+1} - x) \rangle \stackrel{(d)}{=} 2\langle (I - M^T M)(x^l - x), (x^{l+1} - x) \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- We now want to show that

$$\langle (I - M^T M)(x^l - x), (x^{l+1} - x) \rangle \leq \epsilon \|x^l - x\| \|x^{l+1} - x\| \tag{4}$$

To do so, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^l - x \\ v &= x^{l+1} - x \\ T &= \text{supp}(u) \cup \text{supp}(v) \\ &\subset \text{supp}(x^l) \cup \text{supp}(x) \cup \text{supp}(x^{l+1}) \\ |T| &\leq 3s \end{aligned}$$

Explain all equalities and inequalities below

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I - M^T M)u, v \rangle &\stackrel{(d)}{=} u_T^T (I - M_T^T M_T) v_T \\ &\stackrel{(e)}{\leq} \|(I - M_T^T M_T)u_T\|_2 \|v_T\|_2 \\ &\stackrel{(f)}{\leq} \|I - M_T^T M_T\|_{op} \|u_T\|_2 \|v_T\|_2 \\ &\stackrel{(g)}{\leq} \epsilon \|u_T\|_2 \|v_T\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

which shows (4).

- Now, from (4) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{l+1} - x\|^2 &\leq \epsilon \|x^l - x\| \|x^{l+1} - x\| \\ \|x^{l+1} - x\| &\leq 2\epsilon \|x^l - x\| \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Conclude, by showing that if $2\epsilon < 1$, then $x^l \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow +\infty} x$.

$$\begin{aligned} x^0 &= 0 \\ x^{l+1} &= H_s(x^l + M^T(y - Mx^l)) \\ \hat{x} &= x^l \end{aligned}$$

- The goal of this quiz is to explain why (5) is called a concentration inequality. Let $y = Mx$. Compute the distribution of y_i and of $\|y\|^2$. Explain now why this is called concentration inequality.
- Spot the differences between the two statements.
- Proof of the Johnson Lindenstrauss lemma. Fill in when there is ??

$$\mathbb{P}_M \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| \leq t \right) = \mathbb{P}_M \left(\text{??} \forall \text{ or } \exists \text{??} x \in \Omega, \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| \leq t \right) \tag{6}$$

$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}_M(\text{??}) =: 1 - p \tag{7}$$

$$p \leq \sum_{x \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}_M(\text{??}) =: \sum_{x \in \Omega} p_x \tag{8}$$

What is p_x ?

Show that

$$\mathbb{P}_M \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| > t \right) \leq \sum_{x \in \Omega} p_x \tag{9}$$

$$\leq |\Omega| 2e^{-\frac{mt^2}{6}} \leq \delta \tag{10}$$

Therefore, if $m \geq \text{??}$ then ??.

Solution:

$$\mathbb{P}_M \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| \leq t \right) = \mathbb{P}_M \left(\forall x \in \Omega, \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| \leq t \right) \tag{11}$$

$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}_M \left(\exists x \in \Omega, \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| > t \right) =: 1 - p \tag{12}$$

$$p \leq \sum_{x \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}_M \left(\left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| > t \right) =: \sum_{x \in \Omega} p_x \tag{13}$$

What is p_x ? a concentration inequality

From the derivation above, and from the fact that the distribution of $\|Mx\|_2^2$ is sub-exponential, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_M \left(\sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| > t \right) \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} p_x \quad (14)$$

$$\leq |\mathcal{Q}| 2e^{-\frac{mt^2}{6}} \leq \delta \quad (15)$$

Therefore, if $m \geq \frac{6}{t^2} \log \frac{2|\mathcal{Q}|}{\delta}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}_M \left(\sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| \leq t \right) \geq 1 - \delta. \quad (16)$$

10. Covering argument.

Let $\rho \geq 0$. Consider that \mathcal{Q} allows to cover $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{R}^s)$ (unit ball in \mathbb{R}^s) i.e.

$$\sup_{x: \|x\|_2=1} \min_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \|x - q\|_2 \leq \rho$$

We look for the smallest set \mathcal{Q} . Which of the following statements are correct?

$\exists \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{R}^s)$ s.t.

- A. \mathcal{Q} is finite
- B. \mathcal{Q} grows exponentially with s