

## Quiz 2 - (Part3)

1. Show that (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)
2. Which of the following statements might be correct? What is your intuition? And why? (we will establish the proof of one of these statements)  
if  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.

- A.  $M$  is  $(\epsilon, s)$ -RIP, then  $M$  is a **good** sensing matrix (i.e. allows reconstruction).
- B.  $M$  is  $(\epsilon, s)$ -RIP, then  $M$  is a **bad** sensing matrix.
- C.  $M$  is  $(\epsilon, 2s)$ -RIP, then  $M$  is a **good** sensing matrix.
- D.  $M$  is  $(\epsilon, 2s)$ -RIP, then  $M$  is a **bad** sensing matrix.

3. Prove Lemma RIP and operator norm. To do so,

- A. (**easy**) first show that if  $M$  is  $(\epsilon, s)$ -RIP, then

$$\max_{x_S \neq 0} \frac{\|M_S x_S\|_2^2 - \|x_S\|_2^2}{\|x_S\|_2^2} \leq \epsilon$$

- B. (**advanced**) then show that

$$\max_{x_S \neq 0} \frac{\|M_S x_S\|_2^2 - \|x_S\|_2^2}{\|x_S\|_2^2} = \max_{x_S \neq 0} \frac{\|(M_S^T M_S - I)x_S\|_2}{\|x_S\|_2}.$$

- C. (**easy**) conclude with

$$\max_{x_S \neq 0} \frac{\|(M_S^T M_S - I)x_S\|_2}{\|x_S\|_2} = \|M_S^T M_S - I\|_{op}.$$

4. Which of the following statements are correct? If  $M$  is  $(\epsilon, s)$ -RIP, then

- A.  $\forall S, M_S^T M_S \approx I$  when applied to any  $x_S$
- B.  $M^T M \approx I$  when applied to any  $s$ -sparse vector
- C.  $M^T M \approx I$  when applied to any  $n$ -length vector

5. Which of the following statements are correct?

- A. The theorem is a positive result: RIP guarantees the success of IHT.
- B. The update rule of IHT ( $x^l \rightarrow x^{l+1}$ ) is a contraction mapping
- C.  $3s$  is a typo. Should be  $s$ .
- D.  $3s$  is a typo. Should be  $2s$ .

6. Prove Theorem RIP is good for IHT. To do so, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} u^l &= x^l + M^T(y - Mx^l) = x^l + M^T M(x - x^l) \\ x^{l+1} &= H_s(u^l) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- First show that  $\forall s$ -sparse vector  $x$ ,

$$\|u^l - x^{l+1}\|^2 \leq \|u^l - x\|^2 \tag{2}$$

- Explain all equalities and inequalities below

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(u^l - x) - (x^{l+1} - x)\|^2 &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \|u^l - x\|^2 + \|x^{l+1} - x\|^2 - 2\langle(u^l - x), (x^{l+1} - x)\rangle \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \|u^l - x\|^2 \\
\|x^{l+1} - x\|^2 &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} 2\langle(u^l - x), (x^{l+1} - x)\rangle \stackrel{(d)}{=} 2\langle(I - M^T M)(x^l - x), (x^{l+1} - x)\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- We now want to show that

$$\langle(I - M^T M)(x^l - x), (x^{l+1} - x)\rangle \leq \epsilon \|x^l - x\| \|x^{l+1} - x\| \tag{4}$$

To do so, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= x^l - x \\
v &= x^{l+1} - x \\
T &= \text{supp}(u) \cup \text{supp}(v) \\
&\subset \text{supp}(x^l) \cup \text{supp}(x) \cup \text{supp}(x^{l+1}) \\
|T| &\leq 3s
\end{aligned}$$

Explain all equalities and inequalities below

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle(I - M^T M)u, v\rangle &\stackrel{(d)}{=} u_T^T (I - M_T^T M_T) v_T \\
&\stackrel{(e)}{\leq} \|(I - M_T^T M_T)u_T\|_2 \|v_T\|_2 \\
&\stackrel{(f)}{\leq} \|I - M_T^T M_T\|_{op} \|u_T\|_2 \|v_T\|_2 \\
&\stackrel{(g)}{\leq} \epsilon \|u_T\|_2 \|v_T\|_2
\end{aligned}$$

which shows (4).

- Now, from (4) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x^{l+1} - x\|^2 &\leq \epsilon \|x^l - x\| \|x^{l+1} - x\| \\
\|x^{l+1} - x\| &\leq 2\epsilon \|x^l - x\|
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Conclude, by showing that if  $2\epsilon < 1$ , then  $x^l \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow +\infty} x$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
x^0 &= 0 \\
x^{l+1} &= H_s \left( x^l + M^T (y - Mx^l) \right) \\
\hat{x} &= x^l
\end{aligned}$$

7. The goal of this quiz is to explain why (5) is called a concentration inequality.

Let  $y = Mx$ . Compute the distribution of  $y_i$  and of  $\|y\|^2$ .

Explain now why this is called concentration inequality.

8. Spot the differences between the two statements.

9. Proof of the Johnson Lindenstrauss lemma. Fill in when there is ??

$$\mathbb{P}_M \left( \sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| \leq t \right) = \mathbb{P}_M \left( \text{??} \forall \text{ or } \exists \text{?? } x \in \mathcal{Q}, \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| \leq \epsilon \right) \quad (6)$$

$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}_M(\text{??}) =: 1 - p \quad (7)$$

$$p \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{P}_M(\text{??}) =: \sum_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} p_x \quad (8)$$

What is  $p_x$ ?

Show that

$$\mathbb{P}_M \left( \sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \left| \frac{\|Mx\|_2^2}{\|x\|_2^2} - 1 \right| > t \right) \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} p_x \quad (9)$$

$$\leq |\mathcal{Q}| 2e^{-\frac{mt^2}{6}} \leq \delta \quad (10)$$

Therefore, if  $m \geq \text{??}$  then ??.

10. Covering argument.

Let  $\rho \geq 0$ . Consider that  $\mathcal{Q}$  allows to cover  $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{R}^s)$  (unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^s$ ) i.e.

$$\sup_{x: \|x\|_2=1} \min_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \|x - q\|_2 \leq \rho$$

We look for the smallest set  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Which of the following statements are correct?

$\exists \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{R}^s)$  s.t.

A.  $\mathcal{Q}$  is finite

B.  $\mathcal{Q}$  grows exponentially with  $s$