

ACF : Analyse et Conception Formelles

Introduction

Thomas Genet

What is going to be taught ?

Using and applying so-called « formal methods »

- Prototype programs/systems (functional programming)
- Define the expected properties of the programs (logical formulas)
- Use tools to
 - prove that the properties are true and, otherwise,
 - automatically find counterexamples to the properties
- (Bonus) Export prototypes into the Scala programming language
- (Bonus) Integrate the verified Scala programs into Java applications

Why teaching this? What are the motivations?

Why teaching this in Master 1 of computer science?

- ACO=programming in the large
- ACF=programming in the small
- Because finding the right solution to a problem at the first attempt is almost impossible (TP0) :
 - We should be able to **rapidly prototype** a solution
 - We should be able to **rapidly detect bad solutions**
 - We should be able to **know why the solution is bad** (counterexamples)
 - We should **have guarantees on the solution** when no counterexample is found (proof)
- Because it is commonly used in high-technology software industry
- Because it is mature to become popular

Who claims that teaching formal methods is important?

- **All** the world leading universities in computer science : (MIT, Stanford, Berkeley, Rice, . . . , Oxford, Cambridge, ETH Zürich, EPFL, TUM München, . . .)
- High-tech critical software industry : (Amazon, Microsoft, NASA, Intel, Airbus, Thales, Gemalto, . . .) (In Rennes : DGA, ANSSI, Orange, Mitsubishi, Technicolor, . . .)
- All your Master teachers
- Me !
 - 20 years of research on formal verification :
 - developing verification tools
 - modelization and verification of industrial systems
 - Experience of industrial use of formal methods :
 - Orange
 - Technicolor

Some companies using formal methods

- Transportation
 - line 14 of Paris subway (RATP)
 - primary flight control software of A340 and A380 (Airbus)
 - AILS (Airbone Information for Lateral Spacing) (NASA)
- Army : military secured communication software (DGA)
- Security for Communications/Trading/Banks
 - online payment protocols (Orange)
 - cloud computing (Amazon Web Services)
 - blockchain applications (CEA/Ethereum/Tezos/Legicash)
- Consumer software
 - processors (Intel)
 - Windows drivers, secured web protocols (Microsoft)
 - Smartcards, Javacards (Gemalto, Fime)
 - home networks, secured movie editing devices (Technicolor)

Objectives of ACF

- (Re)-introduce functional programming
 - Good and fast prototyping/modeling language
 - Renewed programming paradigm (Ocaml, F#, Scala)
 - Proofs are far easier on a functional program than on an imperative one (e.g. Why3 in ProgC)
- Use logic to formally define the properties of a software
 - « The most precise, concise and expressive programming language »
 - Proving **one** formula can replace **infinitely many** tests!
 - Testing **one** formula can replace **thousands** of tests!

How does ACF relates to other M1/L3 courses?

- ACO (M1)
 - ACF is only about programming in the small
 - ACF focuses on the validity of a solution/program
- MVFA (M1)
 - Restricted to the verification of (large) finite models in MVFA
 - Verification of properties is always automatic in MVFA
- LOG (L3)
 - Same core logical language as LOG, extended in ACF
 - ACF does not focus on proofs
 - Automation of many aspects of LOG
- ProgC (L3)
 - Functional programming instead of imperative (Why)
 - More complex programs in ACF
 - ... and more complex properties *that you can prove!*
 - Integration of verified code in Java project

Evaluation

- Terminal exam (1/2 of the final mark)
- 3 projects (1/2 of the final mark)
 - Model/prototype a software using functional programming
 - Define the expected properties of the software using logic
 - Check that the software satisfies the properties
 - Export a Scala program corresponding to the model
 - Integrate it into a Java program

Practical work (demo)

- Model/prototype a program (demo.thy + solTP0.thy)
- Formally define its property using logic formula
- Search for counterexamples
- Prove the property
- Export an executable version in Scala
- Integrate into a Java program (CM1 project + TP0Isabelle)

Tools to be used (all freely available) :

- Isabelle/HOL (2020)
- Scala 2.13 with SBT (Scala Build Tool)
- IntelliJ bundle/Visual Studio/YourFavoriteEditor

Instructions : <http://people.irisa.fr/Thomas.Genet/ACF/#tools>

Lessons and practical classes (expected) schedule

- 7 lessons :
- 1 Propositional and first order logics
 - 2 Terms, functions and types
 - 3 Recursive functions
 - 4 Interactive proof basics
 - 5 Scala
 - 6 Specification and verification methodology
 - 7 Principles of verification techniques

- 12 practical classes
- 0 ... done
 - 1 Propositional and first order logic
 - 2 and 3 Logic, recursive functions, interactive proof
 - 4 Scala, evaluators and random testing
 - 5 Project 1 : Equivalence of security policies
 - 6 and 7 Project 2 : Certified static analyzer
 - 8 and 9 Project 3 : Price negotiation (web) applet

What about « Travaux dirigés » ?

No classical « Travaux dirigés » (TD)!

- ACF has only lessons and practical classes!
- You have to **ask questions during the lessons** (CM/TP)
- You have to **ask Isabelle/HOL** many questions and **at any time**
- You have to ask questions on **ACF's Moodle**
- You have to read Isabelle/HOL and Scala documentation

You can ask questions during « open » TDs :

- Send questions on Moodle's forum
- If some questions need more interaction, I schedule a TD slot
- When all questions have been answered, the TD is over