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## Definition:

An automaton $\mathcal{A}$ is called polynomially ambiguous if there exists some polynomial $P: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ there are at most $P(|w|)$ accepting paths for $w$.
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## Theorem 1: <br> Ibarra/Ravikumar 1986, Hromkovič/et al 2002

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be trim. The following assertions are equivalent:

- $\mathcal{A}$ is polynomially ambiguous.
- For every state $q$, every $w \in \Sigma^{*}$, we have $|q \stackrel{w}{\sim} q| \leq 1$.
- For every states $p, q$, every $w \in \Sigma^{*}$,
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## Motivation:

- less explored class of automata
- probably a large class of feasable WFA
- development of proof techniques
- they arise in the Cauchy-product of unambiguous/ finitely ambiguous series

$$
(S T)(w):=\sum_{u v=w} S(u) T(v)
$$
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- $|\mathcal{A}|(w)=\min \left\{\ell \mid b a^{\ell} b\right.$ is a factor of $\left.w\right\}$
- $\mathcal{A}$ is polynomially ambiguous, $\quad|1 \stackrel{w}{\sim} 3| \leq|w|_{b}-1<|w|$.
$-|\mathcal{A}|$ is not the mapping of a finitely ambiguous WFA.
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Let $\mathcal{A}=[Q, \theta, \lambda, \varrho]$ be a pol. amb. WFA, i.e.,

- $Q=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a finite set,
- $\theta: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{Q \times Q}$ is a homomorphism,
- $\lambda, \varrho \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q}$.
- $|\mathcal{A}|: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad|\mathcal{A}|(w):=\lambda \theta(w) \varrho$

Let $B=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q}$.
$\min (B):=\min \left\{b_{i} \mid i \in Q\right\}$
$\operatorname{nf}(B):=(-\min (B))+B=\left(b_{1}-\min (B), \ldots, b_{n}-\min (B)\right)$
$n f((1,2,3))=(0,1,2) \quad \operatorname{nf}((3, \infty, 4))=(0, \infty, 1)$
$n f((3, \infty,-4))=(7, \infty, 0)$
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Let $Q^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{Q}$ be the least set which satisfies

- $\operatorname{nf}(\lambda) \in Q^{\prime}$, and
- for every $B \in Q^{\prime}, a \in \Sigma, \quad n f(B \theta(a)) \in Q^{\prime}$.

We have $Q^{\prime}=\left\{\operatorname{nf}(\lambda \theta(w)) \mid w \in \Sigma^{*}\right\}$.
Mohri's Algorithm uses the set $Q^{\prime}$ as states.
It terminates iff $Q^{\prime}$ is finite.
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For $k \geq 1$, we have $\lambda(\theta(w))^{k}=(2 k, k)$ and

## An Example:



For $k \geq 1$, we have $\lambda(\theta(w))^{k}=(2 k, k)$ and $n f\left(\lambda(\theta(w))^{k}\right)=(k, 0)$, i.e.,

Mohri's algorithm does not terminate on the sequence $\left(w^{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$.
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## Another Example:



For $k \geq 2$, we have $\lambda(\theta(w))^{k}=(k, k+2)$ and $n f\left(\lambda(\theta(w))^{k}\right)=(0,2)$, i.e.,

Mohri's algorithm terminates on the sequence $\left(w^{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$.
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$$
B[i, j] \neq \infty \Longleftrightarrow(B B)[i, j] \neq \infty \quad \text { for all } i, j \in Q .
$$

For $i, j \in Q$ let $i \leq_{B} j$ iff $B[i, j] \neq \infty$.
The relation $\leq_{B}$ is transitive and antisymmetric, but not necessarily reflexive of irreflexive, i.e., $\leq_{B}$ is almost a partial ordering.
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Lemma:
The set $\left\{\operatorname{nf}\left(\lambda \theta\left(v w^{k}\right)\right) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is finite iff
$C$ and $B$ satisfy the clones property.
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Let $\mathcal{A}$ be trim, polynomially ambiguous WFA. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. Mohri's algorithm terminates on $\mathcal{A}$.
2. For every $v, w \in \Sigma^{*}$, Mohri's algorithm terminates on the sequence $\left(v w^{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ on $\mathcal{A}$.
3. The WFA $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the clones property.
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- For every $v, w \in \Sigma^{*}$, Mohri's algorithm terminates on $\left(v w^{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$.


## A bad Example:



- For every $v, w \in \Sigma^{*}$, Mohri's algorithm terminates on $\left(v w^{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$.
- Mohri's algorithm does not terminate on $b a b a^{2} b a^{3} b a^{4} b \ldots$
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ in Theorem 2 does not hold for $\mathcal{A}$.

